

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address Of MMISSIC (MERCE) PATENTS A 3D TRADE MARKS PO 18(4) 136 Mexandra, Origina 213(3) 130 www.isplicg.x

APPLICATION NO	HING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10 019,267	03 13 2002	Luc Moens	2001-1885A	1333
513 75	90 05 02:2003			
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
,	Examiner Group Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this accommission	
— The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	41.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ah 13, 2002
☐ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1 - 2 2	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1 - 2 2	la fa un un la sta d
7. Ciain(s)	is/are rejected.
,	
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERT	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERT	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERT	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the Certified copies of the Certified copies not received: *Certified copies not received:	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,267

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO '745. The reference teaches thermosetting coating compositions comprising a carboxylic acid functional amorphous polyester prepared from isophthalic acid, a semi-crystalline polyester that has a hydroxyl value as high as 11 mg KOH/g and a curing agent. See page 5, lines 27, examples and claims. The curing agents are inherently reactive with carboxylic acid groups and hydroxyl groups.

Claims 1-5 and 7-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang alone or Chang in view of WO '745 taken further with WO '407. Chang teaches thermosetting coating compositions comprising an amorphous polyester, a semi-crystalline polyester and a curing agent that have improved smoothness, gloss an reduced orange peel. See col. 3, lines 1-3. The amorphous polyester can be hydroxyl functional or carboxylic acid functional and can be prepared from isophthalic acid. See col. 4, line 58 through col. 5, line 5 and col. 5, line 29. The semi-crystalline polyester can be hydroxy functional or carboxylic acid functional. See col. 4, lines 23-39. Crosslinking agents include blocked isocyanates and epoxy resins. See col. 6, lines 1 through col. 7, line 36. Chang does not disclose a combination of

Application/Control Number: 10/019.267

Art Unit: 1712

carboxylic acid functional amorphous polyester and hydroxyl functional semi-crystalline polyester. WO '745 teaches amorphous polyesters prepared from isophthalic acid provide improved resistance to gloss reduction during weathering. See page 11, lines 4-15. WO '407 teaches that acid functional amorphous polyester and hydroxyl functional semi-crystalline polyesters can be used with a blend of suitable crosslinkers to prepare thermosetting coating compositions. See page 4, lines 9-18. As Chang teaches that the amorphous polyester can be carboxylic acid functional or hydroxy functional and the semicrystalline polyester can be carboxylic acid functional or hydroxy functional, it would have been obvious to combine a carboxylic acid functional amorphous polyester prepared from isophthalic acid with a hydroxyl functional semi-crystalline polyester and a curing agent system reactive with the carboxylic acid groups and the hydroxyl groups in order to obtain a thermosetting coating composition having improved smoothness, gloss and reduced orange peel.

Alternatively, in view of WO '745 taken further with WO '407, it would have been obvious to combine a carboxylic acid functional amorphous polyester prepared from isophthalic acid with a hydroxyl functional semi-crystalline polyester and curing agent system reactive with the carboxylic acid groups and the hydroxyl groups in order to obtain a thermosetting coating composition having improved smoothness, reduced orange peel and resistance to gloss reduction during weathering.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-13 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered

Art Unit: 1712

indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Claims 11-13 and 18 recite broad ranges followed by narrow ranges.

P. Short

April 29, 2003

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9310

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jahr a short